

[illegible]

[illegible]

Item	Section (proposed PRCP)	Matter	Information Request (14 July 2021)	DES Written Feedback (16 June 2021)	BMP Feedback (15 Sept 2021)	Comments captured during meeting
Rehabilitation Planning Zet						
		ii. The PRC plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan. The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan. The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan.		As per Discussion Notes		(DES) No further comment on this item. (CW) Noted that this was addressed in conjunction with RBP10 below)
PRCP Schedule						
10	SMART principles	Milestone Criteria are required to be written in a manner that RM7 specify the following matters in the criteria: - Initial runoff and infiltration rate from upstream - Final runoff and infiltration rate from the area - EC levels The term 'not significantly' does not meet the SMART principles.	Review the criteria of RM 7 to ensure the achievement of SMART principles.	Section 8.2 Opportunistic monitoring updated to include required surface water sample locations and details.		(MC) Explained approach, as per spreadsheet comment. (CW) Clarified that Appendix 13 is appended. No further comment. (SL) Noted that if the verbiage explained by MC were documented, this was a suitable approach for ephemeral systems.
11	Landform design	As discussed during pre-bidgement discussions, the defined slope and other criteria specified in RM 3 of the PRC plan to EC RM7 contradict the slope defined in RM 3. This creates a lack of clarity of the overall intent of the SMART principles. The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan. The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan.	Review the criteria set out in RM 7 against the SMART principles and ensure that the criteria are commensurate with the low risk landform proposed in the PRC plan.			(MC) Explained approach, as per spreadsheet comment. (DES) No further comment.
12	Milestone Criteria Revegetation	The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan. The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan.	a) For RM 6, the pasture condition rating must be clearly measured and achieved. The milestone criteria must be revised to meet SMART principles. b) For RM 6, the pasture condition rating must be clearly measured and achieved. The milestone criteria must be revised to meet SMART principles.	Preference is for this to be in the schedule to ensure verifiability for compliance officers (as the Schedule is the statutory document and status alone from the Rehabilitation plan).		(SL) Explained approach, as per spreadsheet comment. (CW) Noted that this was addressed in conjunction with RBP10 below)
13	Milestone timelines and EA conditions	The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan. The PRCP plan notes that opportunistic surface water monitoring will be undertaken for the analyses and location of the PRCP plan.	Provide an explanation regarding how the milestone criteria address the requirement of Condition F25. Update milestone timelines if amendments are required.	For RM 6, the pasture condition rating must be clearly measured and achieved. The milestone criteria must be revised to meet SMART principles.		(SL) Explained approach, as per spreadsheet comment. (DES) No further comment
14	Inconsistencies with EA	Disadvantage footprint maps and figures in the PRCP currently appear to be non-compliant with that approved under the Wards Well EA.	Review the proposed final EA design and ensure consistency with the currently approved disturbance. Additional disturbance beyond that approved by the EA is required for the disturbance is required and the PRCP is subsequently amended.	The disturbance in the southern portion outside of that shown in EA Figure 1 is historical disturbance done prior to current approvals. This has been explained in Section 1.1.2 Primary mining features and infrastructure (after Figure 4).		(AB) Explained approach, as per spreadsheet comment. (DES) No further comment

BMP Team
TM - Tully Macquarie
CW - Chris Wake
CL - Craig Lockhart
AH - Aileen Hooper
SL - Steve Lyngdorf
MC - Matthew Cherny
MS - Matthew Street

DES Team
CW - Chris Wake
BMP - Barbara van der Pol
KE - Kathryn Ely

ASAC - Alison Straker / Cummings
LN - Louise Nicholson
LT - Luke Taylor